

World Trade Centre Building 7



Omitted from *The 9/11 Commission Report* and the 2005 NIST report



NIST 2008 computer simulation




Figure 4-47. Global model with lateral displacement contours (-1 m to 1 m) at 8.6 (24.6) s.

NIST NCSTAR 1-9A Report

“The response of the academic community when the official conspiracy theory of 9/11 was challenged [has been] primarily a deafening silence, with a few notable exceptions” (Reynolds 2007, p. 101)

“the universities are sleeping so soundly you can hear the snoring from outer space” (MacQueen, in Zuberi, 2013)

“The event and its consequences have remained taboo” in academia (van der Pijl 2014, p. xii)

“The great silence on 9/11 from the universities indicates that they are presently unable to examine this subject openly” (Wyndham 2017, 5)

“For many who are more deeply embedded in the educational academic establishment it seems that they are unable to confront or dispassionately analyse the evidence for themselves” (Johnson, 2017, p. 15)

Destruction of the Twin Towers



Not a gravity-driven "collapse" – so what caused this?



Nicholas Kitchen
@NickKitchen1

But editors are, I would suggest, the bigger issue here. This should never have gone out to peer review. Any serious academic - as journal editors must be - can see this is the worst kind of conspiracy theorising in only minimal academic dress. 4/

7:24 AM · Mar 3, 2020 · [Twitter for iPhone](#)



Brendan Nyhan ✓ @BrendanNyhan · Mar 5

Everyone involved should be ashamed that this was printed in a peer-reviewed journal (a good reminder that peer review alone is no panacea)



Emmett Macfarlane ✓ @EmmMacfarlane · Mar 4

I'm surprised I haven't seen more of the journal's editorial/advisory board repudiate it or resign. I can't believe anyone would willingly continue to associate themselves with the journal so long as that piece goes unretracted. 3/3



Jen Mustapha @JenMustapha · Mar 4

It is a steaming pile of hot garbage and I'm pretty f****g mad about it. Can reassure you that basically all of the critical IR peeps I know are as flabbergasted as me and you. It is a disgrace and not at all representative of so much of the good critical IR work out there.



Shahar Hameiri @ShaharHameiri · 10h

Whether this is or is not a hoax, whomever allowed this conspiracy theory into a supposedly mainstream **IR** journal should hang their heads in shame.



Dr. Nour Halabi @noor_halabi · Mar 5

Unless this so-called article peddling 9/11 conspiracy theories is recalled, I will never publish with Sage again. I call on other academics to join me, truthers and conspiracy theorists have no place in academia and in any of our publications. [#phdlife](#) [#AcademicTwitter](#)



Luciano Pollichieni @lupollichieni · 23m

Just saw this and can't believe to my eyes. If there still some kind of credibility in [#Academia](#) we all expect to see the editorial board fired and author kicked out from EVERY academic context [#conspiracytheories](#) [#September11](#)



- Two 110-story skyscrapers were destroyed in ca. 10 seconds each without obliterating the underground structure of the World Trade Centre
- Immediately afterwards the debris was close to ground level
- What happened to 1.5 million tonnes of material?


Grenfell Tower vs. WTC 7



Damage to the Pentagon



Flight 77 Approach to the Pentagon



Was There Abnormal Trading in the S&P 500 Index Options Prior to the September 11 Attacks?

Multinational Finance Journal, Vol. 15, No. 3/4, p. 1-46, 2011

46 Pages • Posted: 25 Jun 2015

Wing-Keung Wong



Asia University, Department of Finance

Howard E. Thompson

Wisconsin School of Business

Kweehong Teh

National University of Singapore (NUS)






Critical Terrorism Studies Annual Conference: 20 years of 'War on Terror'

This conference **reflects on the state of Critical Terrorism Studies** (CTS) through 20 years of the so-called 'War on Terror'. After the inception of CTS following the global response to 9/11, a response which has shaped the world in multitudinous ways, its scholars have produced **ground-breaking research** on political violence and the ways 'security' and 'terrorism' shape our societies. However, what does CTS look like after nearly two decades? What are the priorities and emerging trends for critical scholars in the field? And **what is it missing** - does CTS need to integrate alternative or marginalised perspectives, or focus on new topics and themes emerging in IR? This conference will open a space for reflection on the progress and future of CTS, hearing especially from figures central to the field, as well as more recent voices which have been contributing to its development.

SPECIAL ISSUE: Reflections on Remembering: 9/11 Twenty Years On

Editors' introduction: what place for 9/11 in critical terrorism studies?

Leonie Jackson , Harmonie Toros  & Lee Jarvis 

Published online: 21 Sep 2021

Download citation

<https://doi.org/10.1080/17539153.2021.1980180>



[Critical Studies on Terrorism >](#)

References

- Benjamin, A. B. (2017). 9/11 as false flag: Why international law must dare to care. *African Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 25, 371–392.
- Chesney, M., Crameri, R., & Mancini, L. (2015). Detecting abnormal trading activities in option markets (Swiss Finance Institute research paper No. 11-42).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1522157>
- Chossudovsky, M. (2005). *America's war on terrorism* (2nd ed.). Global Research.
- Davidsson, E. (2013). *Hijacking America's mind on 9/11: Counterfeiting evidence*. Algora.
- De Lint, Willem. (2019). Criminology 9/11. *Globalizations*, 17, 1157-1177.
- Everett, M. (2008). Faked provocations: Symbolic traumas as a pretext for war. *Journal of Psychohistory*, 35, 366–393.
- Griffin, D. R. (2005). *The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions and distortions*. Olive Branch.
- Griffin, D. R., & Scott, P. D. (Eds.), (2007). *9/11 and American empire: Intellectuals speak out*. Olive Branch.

References

Griffin, D. R., & Woodworth, E. (2018). *9/11 Unmasked: An international review panel investigation*. Olive Branch

Hayward, T. (2020). Peer Review vs. Trial by Twitter, 8 March.
<https://timhayward.wordpress.com/2020/03/08/peer-review-vs-trial-by-twitter/>

Hulsey, L., Quan, Z., & Xiao, F. (2019). *A structural reevaluation of the collapse of World Trade Center 7* (INE report 18.17 prepared for architects & engineers for 9/11 truth).
<http://www.ine.uaf.edu/wtc7>

Johnson, A. (2017). *9/11 holding the truth.a*
<http://checktheevidencecom.ipage.com/checktheevidence.com/pdf/911%20Holding%20The%20Truth%20-Andrew%20Johnson%20-%202017.pdf>

MacGregor, D. (2006). September 11 as “Machiavellian state terror.” In P. Zarembka (Ed.), *The hidden history of 9/11* (pp. 189-221). Elsevier

Poteshman, A. M. (2006). Unusual option market activity and the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. *Journal of Business*, 79, 1703–1726.

Reynolds, M. (2007). 9/11, Texas A&M University, and Heresy. In D. R. Griffin & P. D. Scott (Eds.), *9/11 and American empire: Intellectuals speak out* (pp. 101–116). Olive Branch.

References

- van der Pijl, K. (2014). *The discipline of western supremacy. Modes of foreign relations and political economy*, Vol. III. Pluto Press
- van Gerwen, M. A. G., Tuminello, S., Riggins, G. J., Mendes, T. B., Donovan, M., Benn, E. K. T., Genden, E., Cerutti, J. M., & Taioli, E. (2019). Molecular study of thyroid cancer in World Trade Center responders. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16, 1600–1607.
- Willers, B. (2020). "Regarding Ignoramuses in Academe." *Dissident Voice*, 15 March. <https://dissidentvoice.org/2020/03/regarding-ignoramus-in-academe/>
- Wong, W. K., Thompson, H. E., & Teh, K. (2010). Was there abnormal trading in the S&P 500 index options prior to the September 11 attacks? *Multinational Finance Journal*, 15, 1–46 .
- Wyndham, J. D. (2017). Peer review in controversial topics—A case study of 9/11. *Publications*, 5, 1–11
- Zarembka, P. (Ed.). (2006). *The hidden history of 9/11*. Elsevier.
- Zuberi, A. (2013). *9/11 and the academic community*. Winner of the 2011 University of Toronto film festival. <https://www.bitchute.com/video/NA3rh3sv9NFC/>